

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/269,503	03/29/1999	YASUSHI KANEKO	990348	1116
23850	7590 01/28/2003			
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/269,503	KANEKO ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
• '	Timothy L Rude	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a second control in the contr	cation. A proper reply to a chiple cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,6,8,10-12,14 and 16-18</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10. Other:		SUPTIME TO THE SUPERIOR OF THE			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Insertion into claims 1, 2, 17, and 18, of "wherein said reflection-type polarizing film is disposed in such a manner that the transmission axis thereof is in a direction orthogonal to or parallel with a direction of polarization of a light compensated to be a substantially linerarly polarized light during passage through said absorption-type polarizing film, said retardation film, and said super twisted nematic liquid crystal cell in a state with no voltage applied" adds new limitations that require further consideration.